

ON GENERIC NEFNESS OF TANGENT SHEAVES

WENHAO OU

ABSTRACT. We show that the tangent bundle of a projective manifold with nef anticanonical class is generically nef. That is, its restriction to a curve cut out by general sufficiently ample divisors is a nef vector bundle.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Slope semistability and Harder-Narasimhan filtrations	3
3. Foliations and relative tangent sheaves	4
4. Proof of Theorem 1.6	5
5. Proofs of the main theorems	6
6. An orbifold version of generic nefness	7
References	8

1. INTRODUCTION

From the viewpoint of the minimal model program, complex projective manifolds X should be birationally classified according to the sign of the canonical class K_X . It is natural to ask how far we can lift the positivity (or the negativity) of K_X to the cotangent sheaf Ω_X^1 . The following two theorems were due to Miyaoka (See [Miy87, Corollary 6.4]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a complex projective manifold such that K_X is pseudoeffective. Then the sheaf Ω_X^1 is generically nef. That is, $\Omega_X^1|_C$ is a nef vector bundle for any Mehta-Ramanathan-general curve C .*

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a complex projective manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ such that K_X is pseudoeffective. Then for any nef divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}$, we have*

$$c_2(\Omega_X^1) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0,$$

where c_2 stands for the second Chern class.

A Mehta-Ramanathan-general curve C is the complete intersection of $n - 1$ sufficiently ample divisors in general positions, where n is the dimension of X . In this note, we are interested in complex projective varieties with nef anticanonical class $-K_X$. These varieties have been studied by many mathematicians, *e.g.* Demailly-Peternell-Schneider ([DPS93],[DPS94],[DPS96], [DPS01]), Zhang ([Zha96], [Zha05]), Păun ([Pău97], [Pău12]), Peternell ([Pet12]), Campana-Demailly-Peternell ([CDP15]), Cao-Höring ([CH13]), Cao ([Cao16])... Based on their works, we prove the following theorem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E99.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a complex projective variety with $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical singularities such that $-K_X$ is nef. Then the reflexive tangent sheaf T_X is generically nef. That is, $T_X|_C$ is a nef vector bundle for any Mehta-Ramanathan-general curve C .*

The statement above was conjectured by Peternell in [Pet12, Conjecture 1.5]. If we assume that C is the intersection of $n - 1$ sufficiently ample divisors of the same class, then Cao (see [Cao13, Theorem 1.2]) and Guenancia (see [Gue16, Theorem C]) proved the nefness of $T_X|_C$ independently by analytic methods. Our approach is more algebraic though. As a corollary, we also obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a complex projective manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with nef anti-canonical class $-K_X$. Then for any nef divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}$, we have*

$$c_2(T_X) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

We note that Theorem 1.3 does not hold if we only assume that $-K_X$ is pseudoeffective. The following example was due to Demailly, Peternell and Schneider (see [DPS01, Example 4.14]). Let Y be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let L be a line bundle on Y of degree smaller than $2 - 3g$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus L)$ and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. Then, on the one hand, $-K_X$ is effective. On the other hand, we have a natural surjective morphism $T_X \rightarrow p^*T_Y$. Since the Ω_Y^1 is ample, the vector bundle $T_X|_C$ is not nef if $C \subseteq X$ is a general very ample divisor.

We also remark that generic nefness does not imply pseudoeffectivity. In fact, Theorem 1.3 does not hold if we replace Mehta-Ramanathan-general curves by movable curves. For example, Boucksom, Demailly, Păun and Peternell showed that if X is a projective K3-surface or a projective Calabi-Yau threefold, then there is a dominant family of curves $(C_t)_{t \in T}$ such that $T_X|_{C_t}$ is not nef for general $t \in T$ (see [BDPP13, Theorem 7.7]).

There are two main ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.3. The first one is the following theorem (see also Theorem 3.1) by Campana and Păun on algebraicity of foliations (See [CP15a, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a projective manifold. Let α be any movable curve class. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ be a foliation. Assume that the slope, with respect to α , of any non-zero torsion-free quotient of $\mathcal{F}$ is strictly positive. Then $\mathcal{F}$ has algebraic leaves. That is, $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation induced by some rational dominant map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$. Moreover, general leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are rationally connected.*

Another crucial theorem is the following one, which is a refined version of a theorem of Chen and Zhang (see [CZ13, Main Theorem]).

Theorem 1.6. *Let (X, D) be a projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical pair with $-(K_X + D)$ nef. Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a rational dominant map with $0 < \dim Y < \dim X$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the foliation induced by f . Then $K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_X - D_{\text{ver}}$ is pseudo-effective, where D_{ver} is the vertical part of D over Y , and $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the canonical class of $\mathcal{F}$.*

Let us sketch the proof of the Theorem 1.3. Our idea is inspired by Peternell's proof in the case of rational surfaces (see [Pet12, Theorem 5.9]). We assume by contradiction that $T_X|_C$ is not nef for some Mehta-Ramanathan-general curve C . Then T_X is not semistable with respect to the class of C . Using the fact that $-K_X$ is nef, we can find a suitable subsheaf, namely $\mathcal{F}$, in the Harder-Narasimhan semistable filtration of T_X such that the slope of $T/\mathcal{F}$

is negative, and that $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation on X . In particular,

$$C \cdot K_{\mathcal{F}} < C \cdot K_X.$$

Moreover, by using Theorem 1.5, we can show that $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by a rational dominant map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$. Then from Theorem 1.6, we obtain that

$$C \cdot K_{\mathcal{F}} \geq C \cdot K_X.$$

This is a contradiction.

An orbifold version of Theorem 1.1 was established by Campana and Păun (see [CP15b, Theorem 2.1]): if (X, Δ) is a projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical pair with $K_X + D$ pseudoeffective, then the orbifold cotangent sheaf $\Omega^1(X, \Delta)$ is π -generically nef for any adapted Kawamata finite cover $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$. By using the orbifold version of Theorem 1.5 of Campana and Păun (see [CP15a, Theorem 1.4]), we can also deduce the following orbifold version of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.7. *Let (X, Δ) be a complex projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. Then the orbifold tangent sheaf $T(X, \Delta)$ is π -generically nef for any adapted Kawamata finite cover $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$.*

Throughout this note, we will work over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his gratitude to Stéphane Druel and Burt Totaro for reading the preliminary version of this paper, helpful remarks and warm encouragement. He is also very grateful to Jian Xiao for general discussions.

2. SLOPE SEMISTABILITY AND HARDER-NARASIMHAN FILTRATIONS

In this section, we will study some properties on Harder-Narasimhan semistable filtrations. Let X be a normal projective variety and let α be a movable curve class. Assume that either X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial or α is the class of a complete intersection of basepoint-free divisors. Then for any rank 1 reflexive sheaf F on X , the intersection number $\alpha \cdot F$ is well defined. For any torsion-free coherent sheaf E with positive rank on X , the slope of E with respect to α is the number

$$\mu_{\alpha}(E) = \frac{\alpha \cdot \det E}{\operatorname{rank} E},$$

where $\det E$ is the reflexive hull of the top wedge product of E . We would like to refer to [GKP14, Appendix A] for more details on slope notions on singular spaces. The maximal slope is defined as follows,

$$\mu_{\alpha, \max}(E) = \sup\{\mu_{\alpha}(F) \mid F \text{ is a non-zero saturated subsheaf of } E\}.$$

The supremum is in fact a maximum (see *e.g.* [GKP14, Proposition A.2]). The sheaf E is called α -semistable (or just semistable if there is no ambiguity) if $\mu_{\alpha, \max}(E) = \mu_{\alpha}(E)$. If E is not semistable, then there is a unique maximal subsheaf F of E such that $\mu_{\alpha, \max}(E) = \mu_{\alpha}(F)$. This F is called the maximal destabilizing subsheaf and is automatically semistable.

There is always a unique filtration, called the Harder-Narasimhan semistable filtration, of saturated subsheaves,

$$0 = E_0 \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_r = E$$

such that E_i/E_{i-1} is the maximal destabilizing subsheaf of E/E_{i-1} for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and that the sequence $(\mu_{\alpha}(E_i/E_{i-1}))_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ is strictly decreasing.

The minimal slope (see *e.g.* [CP15a, Definition 2.3]) is defined as follows,

$$\mu_{\alpha, \min}(E) = \inf\{\mu_{\alpha}(Q) \mid Q \text{ is a non-zero torsion-free quotient sheaf of } E\}.$$

This infimum is also a minimum. Indeed, we have $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(E) = \mu_{\alpha}(E/E_{r-1})$, where E_{r-1} is the saturated subsheaf defined in the Harder-Narasimhan semistable filtration above (see [CP11, Proposition 1.3]).

We will use the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety and let α be a movable curve class in X . Let E be a torsion-free sheaf on X such that $\mu_{\alpha}(E) \geq 0$ and $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(E) < 0$. Let*

$$0 = E_0 \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_r = E$$

with $r \geq 2$ be the Harder-Narasimhan semistable filtration with respect to α . Then there is some $k \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ such that $\mu_{\alpha}(E/E_k) < 0$ and $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(E_k) = \mu_{\alpha}(E_k/E_{k-1}) > 0$.

Proof. We have $\mu_{\alpha}(E/E_{r-1}) = \mu_{\alpha, \min}(E) < 0$. Let k be the smallest integer in $\{0, \dots, r-1\}$ such that $\mu_{\alpha}(E/E_k) < 0$. Since $\mu_{\alpha}(E) \geq 0$, we know that $k \geq 1$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_k/E_{k-1} \rightarrow E/E_{k-1} \rightarrow E/E_k \rightarrow 0.$$

By the definition of k , we have $\mu_{\alpha}(E/E_{k-1}) \geq 0$. Thus $\mu_{\alpha}(E_k/E_{k-1}) > 0$. $\square$

3. FOLIATIONS AND RELATIVE TANGENT SHEAVES

Let X be a normal variety of dimension at least 2 and let $T_X = (\Omega_X^1)^*$ be the reflexive tangent sheaf. A foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on X is a non-zero saturated subsheaf of T_X which is closed under Lie brackets. The canonical class $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is a Weil divisor such that

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \det \mathcal{F}.$$

We say that $\mathcal{F}$ has algebraic leaves if the dimension of the Zariski closure of a general leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is equal to the rank of $\mathcal{F}$.

Typical examples of foliations are relative tangent sheaves as follows. Consider a rational dominant map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ between normal varieties. Assume that $\dim Y < \dim X$. Let V be the smooth locus of Y . Let U be a non-empty smooth open subset of X such that $f|_U$ is regular and $f(U) \subset V$. The relative tangent sheaf $T_{U/V}$ of $f|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is defined as the kernel of the natural morphism

$$df|_U : T_U \rightarrow f^*T_V.$$

There is a unique saturated subsheaf $T_{X/Y}$ of the reflexive tangent sheaf T_X such that $T_{X/Y}|_U = T_{U/V}$. We call $T_{X/Y}$ the relative tangent sheaf of $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$. It is a foliation on X . We note that a foliation on X has algebraic leaves if and only if it is induced by some rational dominant map as above (see *e.g.* [AD13, Lemma 3.2]).

The following theorem is a singular version of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a projective normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety. Let α be the class of a complete intersection curve C of very ample divisors. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated subsheaf of T_X . Assume that*

- (1) $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$,
- (2) $2\mu_{\alpha, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > \mu_{\alpha, \max}(T_X/\mathcal{F})$.

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation and has algebraic leaves. Moreover, general leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are rationally connected.

Proof. If X is smooth, then the statement follows from [CP15a, Theorem 1.4]. For X singular, since C is the complete intersection of very ample divisors, we can reduce to the smooth case as follows.

Let $r : X' \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities which is an isomorphism over the smooth locus U of X . Let $U' = r^{-1}(U)$. Since C is the complete intersection of very ample divisors, we may assume that $C \subseteq U$. Let C' be the strict transform of C in X' , and let α' be the class of C' . Then the class α' is movable.

There is a unique saturated subsheaf $\mathcal{F}'$ of $T_{X'}$ such that $\mathcal{F}'|_{U'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}|_U$. Now, since C' is contained in U' , we have

$$\mu_{\alpha', \min}(\mathcal{F}') = \mu_{\alpha, \min}(\mathcal{F}),$$

and

$$\mu_{\alpha', \max}(T_{X'}/\mathcal{F}') = \mu_{\alpha, \max}(T_X/\mathcal{F}).$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}'$ is a foliation and has algebraic leaves by [CP15a, Theorem 1.4]. Also, general leaves of $\mathcal{F}'$ are rationally connected. Since $\mathcal{F}'|_{U'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}|_U$, this completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.6. It follows from the following theorem, which is a special case of a theorem of Druel (see [Dru15, Proposition 4.1]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism between normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial varieties. Let Δ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor in X . Assume that the pair $(F, \Delta|_F)$ is log canonical, where F is a general fiber of f . If there is some positive integer m such that $m(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier and that $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(m(K_X + \Delta)|_F)) > 0$, then $K_{\mathcal{F}} + \Delta$ is pseudo-effective, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation induced by f .*

Proof of Theorem 1.6. There is a log resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ of (X, D) such that the induced map $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism. By blowing up Y and Z if necessary, we may assume that Y is smooth. We have

$$K_Z + D_Z \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*(K_X + D) + E,$$

where D_Z and E are effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors without common components. Moreover E has π -exceptional support. Since (X, D) is log canonical, so is the pair (Z, D_Z) .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

Let L be an ample divisor in Z and let $\delta > 0$ be a rational number. Then $-\pi^*(K_X + D) + \delta L$ is ample. We can then choose a smooth irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -\pi^*(K_X + D) + \delta L$$

such that $(Z, D_Z + A)$ is a log canonical. We have

$$K_Z + D_Z + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E + \delta L.$$

Let $\Delta = D_Z + A - \pi_*^{-1}D_{\text{ver}}$. Then Δ is effective, and we have

$$(*) \quad K_Z + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E + \delta L - \pi_*^{-1}D_{\text{ver}}.$$

Let G be a general fiber of g . We claim that $m(K_Z + \Delta)|_G$ has non-zero global sections for large enough and sufficiently divisible integer m . Indeed, by (*), we have

$$(K_Z + \Delta)|_G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (E + \delta L - \pi_*^{-1} D_{ver})|_G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (E + \delta L)|_G.$$

The right-hand-side above is a big divisor. Hence $m(K_Z + \Delta)|_G$ has non-zero global sections for large enough and sufficiently divisible integer m .

By Theorem 4.1, we obtain that $K_{\mathcal{G}} + \Delta$ is pseudoeffective, where $\mathcal{G}$ is the foliation induced by g . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E + \delta L + (K_{\mathcal{G}} - K_Z - \pi_*^{-1} D_{ver}) &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E + \delta L - \pi_*^{-1} D_{ver} + (K_{\mathcal{G}} - K_Z) \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Z + \Delta + (K_{\mathcal{G}} - K_Z) \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\mathcal{G}} + \Delta \end{aligned}$$

is pseudoeffective.

Since this is true for arbitrary $\delta > 0$, we obtain that

$$E + (K_{\mathcal{G}} - K_Z - \pi_*^{-1} D_{ver})$$

is pseudoeffective. Thus

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_X - D_{ver} = \pi_*(E + (K_{\mathcal{G}} - K_Z - \pi_*^{-1} D_{ver}))$$

is pseudoeffective. □

5. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

We will prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 in this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume the opposite. Then there is a Mehta-Ramanathan-general curve C such that $T_X|_C$ is not nef. By [Pet12, Theorem 5.2], we have $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(T_X) < 0$, where α is the class of C . In particular, T_X is not α -semistable. Let

$$0 = E_0 \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_r = T_X$$

with $r \geq 2$ be the Harder-Narasimhan semistable filtration. Then by Lemma 2.1, there is some $k \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ such that $\mu_{\alpha}(T_X/E_k) < 0$ and $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(E_k) = \mu_{\alpha}(E_k/E_{k-1}) > 0$. We have the following inequality,

$$2\mu_{\alpha, \min}(E_k) = 2\mu_{\alpha}(E_k/E_{k-1}) > \mu_{\alpha}(E_k/E_{k-1}) > \mu_{\alpha}(E_{k+1}/E_k) = \mu_{\alpha, \max}(T_X/E_k).$$

Hence E_k is a foliation and has algebraic leaves by Theorem 3.1. We denote E_k by $\mathcal{F}$. Then

$$C \cdot K_{\mathcal{F}} < C \cdot K_X$$

for $\mu_{\alpha}(T_X/E_k) < 0$.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ has algebraic leaves, there is a rational dominant map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is induced by f . Moreover, since $\mathcal{F}$ is a non-zero proper subsheaf, we have $0 < \dim Y < \dim X$. Hence Theorem 1.6 shows that

$$C \cdot K_{\mathcal{F}} \geq C \cdot K_X.$$

This is a contradiction. □

Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of Theorem 1.3 and [Miy87, Theorem 6.1].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By continuity and homogeneity, we may assume that $H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}$ are ample. Let H be an ample divisor and let N be a nef divisor. Then $N + \delta H$ is ample for any positive rational number δ . Let C be a Mehta-Ramanathan-general curve given by high multiples of $H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}$ and $(N + \delta H)$. Then $T_X|_C$ is nef by Theorem 1.3. This implies that for any non-zero torsion-free quotient sheaf Q of T_X , we have

$$\det Q \cdot (N + \delta H) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

Since δ can be arbitrarily small, this shows that

$$\det Q \cdot N \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

Since the inequality above holds for any nef divisor N and any non-zero torsion-free quotient sheaf Q of T_X , we obtain that T_X is generically $(H_1, \dots, H_{n-2})$ -semipositive. By [Miy87, Theorem 6.1]), we have

$$c_2(T_X) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

□

6. AN ORBIFOLD VERSION OF GENERIC NEFNESS

We will prove Theorem 1.7 in this section. We would like to refer to [CP15a, Section 5] for detailed notions of orbifolds. Let (X, D) be a projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical pair of dimension n . Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a Kawamata finite cover adapted to (X, D) . Let $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$ be ample divisors in X . Then the orbifold cotangent sheaf $\Omega^1(X, D)$ (respectively the orbifold tangent sheaf $T(X, D)$) is said to be π -generically semipositive with respect to $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$ if for any non-zero torsion-free quotient Q of $\pi^*\Omega^1(X, D)$ (respectively of $\pi^*T(X, D)$), we have

$$\det Q \cdot \pi^*H_1 \cdots \pi^*H_{n-1} \geq 0.$$

We say that $\Omega^1(X, D)$ (respectively $T(X, D)$) is π -generically nef if it is π -generically semipositive with respect to any ample divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$ in X . In particular, we note that if D is an integral divisor and if π is the identity map, then π -generic nefness is the same as generic nefness.

In order to prove Theorem 1.7, we will need the following version of [CP15a, Theorem 1.4] for singular spaces.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (X, D) be a projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical pair. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a finite cover adapted to (X, D) . Let $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$ be very ample divisors in X and let α be the class of $\pi^*H_1 \cap \dots \cap \pi^*H_{n-1}$. Assume that there is a saturated subsheaf F of $\pi^*T(X, D)$ such that*

- (1) F is G -invariant, where G is the Galois group of π .
- (2) $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(F) > 0$,
- (3) $2\mu_{\alpha, \min}(F) > \mu_{\alpha, \max}(\pi^*T(X, D)/F)$.

Then the saturation of F in π^*T_X defines an algebraic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on X . Moreover, F is the saturation of $\pi^*\mathcal{F} \cap \pi^*T(X, D)$ in $\pi^*T(X, D)$.

Proof. Let $r : X' \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of (X, D) which is an isomorphism over the smooth locus U of (X, D) . Let Z' be the normalization of $Z \times_X X'$. Then the natural morphism $\pi' : Z' \rightarrow X'$ is an adapted finite cover of (X', D') , where $D' = r_*^{-1}D$. Let $U' = r^{-1}(U)$, $V = \pi^{-1}(U)$ and $V' = \pi'^{-1}(U')$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z' & \longrightarrow & Z \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
X' & \xrightarrow{r} & X
\end{array}$$

There is a unique G -invariant saturated subsheaf F' of $\pi'^*T(X', D')$ such that $F'|_{V'}$ is isomorphic to $F|_V$. Let α' be the class of $(\pi' \circ r)^*H_1 \cap \dots \cap (\pi' \circ r)^*H_{n-1}$. We have

$$\mu_{\alpha', \min}(F') = \mu_{\alpha, \min}(F) > 0, \text{ and } \mu_{\alpha', \max}(\pi'^*T(X', D')/F') = \mu_{\alpha, \max}(\pi^*T(X, D)/F).$$

Hence by [CP15a, Theorem 1.4], the saturation of F' in $\pi'^*T_{X'}$ defines an algebraic foliation $\mathcal{F}'$ on X' . Moreover, F' is the saturation of $\pi'^*\mathcal{F}' \cap \pi'^*T(X', D')$ in $\pi'^*T(X', D')$ (see [CP15a, Corollary 5.10]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the saturation of the natural image of $r_*\mathcal{F}'$ in T_X . Then $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebraic foliation and F is the saturation of $\pi^*\mathcal{F} \cap \pi^*T(X, D)$ in $\pi^*T(X, D)$. $\square$

Now we will prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 1.3. Assume the opposite. Then there are very ample divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$ such that $T(X, D)$ is not π -generically semipositive with respect to $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$. Let α be the class of $\pi^*H_1 \cap \dots \cap \pi^*H_{n-1}$.

By applying Lemma 2.1 to $\pi^*T(X, D)$, we can find a saturated subsheaf F of $\pi^*T(X, D)$ such that $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(F) > 0$ and that $\mu_{\alpha}(\pi^*T(X, D)/F) < 0$. From the uniqueness of Harder-Narasimhan filtration, we know that F , as a component in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, is invariant under the Galois group of π . Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have

$$2\mu_{\alpha, \min}(F) > \mu_{\alpha, \max}(\pi^*T(X, D)/F).$$

By Theorem 6.1, the saturation of F in $(\pi^*T_X)^{**}$ defines an algebraic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on X . Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is the relative tangent sheaf of some dominant rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$.

Then, on the one hand, by Theorem 1.6, we have

$$C \cdot \pi^*(K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_X - D_{\text{ver}}) \geq 0,$$

where D_{ver} is the vertical part of D over Y .

On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1, the sheaf F is the saturation of the intersection $\pi^*\mathcal{F} \cap \pi^*T(X, D)$ in $\pi^*T(X, D)$. By [Cla, Prop. 2.17], we have

$$\det F \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(\pi^*(-K_{\mathcal{F}} - D_{\text{hor}})),$$

where D_{hor} is the horizontal part of Δ over Y . Thus

$$\det(\pi^*T(X, D)/F) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(\pi^*(K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_X - D_{\text{ver}})),$$

and we have

$$C \cdot \det(\pi^*T(X, D)/F) = C \cdot \pi^*(K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_X - D_{\text{ver}}) \geq 0.$$

Since $\mu_{\alpha}(\pi^*T(X, D)/F) < 0$, this is a contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AD13] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel, *On Fano foliations*, Adv. Math. **238** (2013), 70–118. MR 3033631
- [BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun, and Thomas Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 2, 201–248. MR 3019449
- [Cao13] Junyan Cao, *A remark on compact Kähler manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles and its applications*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.4397 (2013).

- [Cao16] ———, *Albanese maps of projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.05921 (2016).
- [CDP15] Frédéric Campana, Jean-Pierre Demailly, and Thomas Peternell, *Rationally connected manifolds and semipositivity of the Ricci curvature*, Recent advances in algebraic geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 417, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015, pp. 71–91. MR 3380444
- [CH13] Junyan Cao and Andreas Höring, *Manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.1018 (2013).
- [Cla] Benoît Claudon, *Semi-positivité du cotangent logarithmique et conjecture de Shafarevich et Viehweg (d'après Campana, Păun, Taji, ...)*, Séminaire Bourbaki 2015, arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.09568.
- [CP11] Frédéric Campana and Thomas Peternell, *Geometric stability of the cotangent bundle and the universal cover of a projective manifold*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **139** (2011), no. 1, 41–74, With an appendix by Matei Toma. MR 2815027 (2012e:14031)
- [CP15a] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun, *Foliations with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.02456 (2015).
- [CP15b] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun, *Orbifold generic semi-positivity: an application to families of canonically polarized manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **65** (2015), no. 2, 835–861. MR 3449168
- [CZ13] Meng Chen and Qi Zhang, *On a question of Demailly-Peternell-Schneider*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 5, 1853–1858. MR 3082246
- [DPS93] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, and Michael Schneider, *Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), no. 2, 217–240. MR 1255695
- [DPS94] ———, *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 2, 295–345. MR 1257325
- [DPS96] ———, *Compact Kähler manifolds with Hermitian semipositive anticanonical bundle*, Compositio Math. **101** (1996), no. 2, 217–224. MR 1389367
- [DPS01] ———, *Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **12** (2001), no. 6, 689–741. MR 1875649
- [Dru15] Stéphane Druel, *On foliations with nef anti-canonical bundle*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.01348 (2015).
- [GKP14] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell, *Reflexive differential forms on singular spaces. Geometry and cohomology*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **697** (2014), 57–89. MR 3281652
- [Gue16] Henri Guenancia, *Semistability of the tangent sheaf of singular varieties*, Algebr. Geom. **3** (2016), no. 5, 508–542. MR 3568336
- [Miy87] Yoichi Miyaoka, *The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 449–476. MR 946247
- [Pău97] Mihai Păun, *Sur le groupe fondamental des variétés kählériennes compactes à classe de Ricci numériquement effective*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **324** (1997), no. 11, 1249–1254. MR 1456296
- [Pău12] ———, *Relative adjoint transcendental classes and Albanese maps of compact Kaehler manifolds with nef Ricci curvature*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.2195 (2012).
- [Pet12] Thomas Peternell, *Varieties with generically nef tangent bundles*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **14** (2012), no. 2, 571–603. MR 2881306
- [Zha96] Qi Zhang, *On projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **478** (1996), 57–60. MR 1409052
- [Zha05] ———, *On projective varieties with nef anticanonical divisors*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005), no. 3, 697–703. MR 2181470

E-mail address: wenhaoou@math.ucla.edu

UCLA MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 520 PORTOLA PLAZA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095, USA